

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,052	02/19/2004	Bruce J. Wells	040135-000100US	6841
20350	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A	
EIGHTH FL	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		3754	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,052	WELLS, BRUCE	≣ J .				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph A. Kaufma	an 3754					
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum si - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE OF THIS CO of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire S or will, by statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
•	2b) ☐ This action is non-fina						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is				
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	,						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restrict	on and/or election requireme	ent.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected t							
•	5 2) 1.10 2.1 2						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		.					
1. Certified copies of the priority			•				
2. Certified copies of the priority			1.01				
•		ve been received in this Nation	al Stage				
application from the Internation							
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified co	pies not received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· — .	Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	r PTO/SB/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (F Other:	PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: specie A drawn to the method of ordering more of claims 19 and 20; specie B drawn to the mobile station of claims 28 and 29; specie C drawn to the gas pressurizing/dispensing of claims 36-38 and 46-48; specie D drawn to the scale or flow metering of claims 40 and 41; and specie E drawn to the identifier of claim 52. The species are independent or distinct because they represent clearly different concepts as can easily be discerned from their subject matter.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the remaining claims not listed above appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,052 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph A. Kaufman Primary Examiner

3/16/06

jak March 16, 2006